

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

the series of th			the same and the s	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/807,721	04/18/2001	Henry Daniell	1463- PCT-US-O0	4041
35811	7590 02/02/2006		EXAMINER	
IP GROUP OF DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY US LLP			KUBELIK, ANNE R	
1650 MARKE SUITE 4900	21 21		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPI	HIA, PA 19103		1638 DATE MAILED: 02/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/807,721	DANIELL ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	Γ -		
	Anne R. Kubelik	1638			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			lross		
THE REPLY FILED 17 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•	7633		
1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of					
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compaction following time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or		
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	· ·	D WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	iths of the date		
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	Illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-2, 28-29</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ails to provide a (1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after ϵ	entry is below or attac	ched.		

13. Other: _____.

See Continuation Sheet.

11. Main The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 103 over McBride in view of zZMayfiled and further in view of Christou: Applicant urges that Mayfiled et al teaches Chlamydomonas plastid transformation, Cristou et al teaches nuclear transformation; one of skill in the art would have no expectation of success in combining the references to transform a tobacco plastid with a Guy's 13 antibody gene. This is not found persuasive. Applicant provides no basis for the assertion that there is no expectation of success. Tobacco plastid transformation was a well-established method at the time of filing and was successfully used to express a large number of different proteins, including eukaryotic proteins. There is nothing to suggest that expressing an antibody in a tobacco plastid would not work. Expressing a nucleic acid encoding a Guy's13 antibody in a plant plastid is obvious inview of the suggestions to do so in the combination of references and in view of the overall success in tobacco plastid transformation.

